

ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಮುಗಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರಬಹುದು. ಅದರಿಂದ ನನಗೇನೂ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ರೂಲ್ಸ್‌ನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಏನೂ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The rules are binding on me. That is the trouble. I do not want to deprive the Hon'ble Members of a good meal. I would never do that. But the difficulty is that under the rules I cannot do it.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Sir, why should we not meet daily at 2 o'clock as in Bombay? That would be better, because then the Ministers can attend to their work up to 12 o'clock. What happens now is that if we commence the sitting at 12 o'clock, the routine work cannot go on as usual. So it would be better if the session commences daily at 2 o'clock and closes at 7 o'clock. That is the practice followed in Bombay and even at the Centre. If we meet at 12 o'clock, then it would be very difficult for members to take food earlier, because some are accustomed to take food at 12-30 or 1 P.M. So it would be more convenient if we meet from 2 to 7 as is the practice in Bombay.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have no objection to meet at 2 o'clock, but this question has to be decided by the whole House.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—What is the view of Government?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Whatever time is convenient to the House is convenient to Government. I have no objection to 2 o'clock being fixed as the commencing time.

Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—Sir, this is question hour. The discussion now can relate only to the procedure being adopted with regard to questions. If any member has any suggestion to make with regard to the general procedure of the House, he can make it only after the question hour is over. It is not desirable to waste the precious time that is allotted to questions and it is not also in order. Therefore I would request the Chair not to allow members to debate on this point.

Mr. SPEAKER.—We shall devote the full time allotted for questions. Now

that this point has been raised, it would be better if it is settled. The question hour will begin after this point is decided. So far as I am concerned, I have no objection to meet at 2 o'clock. If that is the desire of the members we can do that. Let all the important members sit together and decide on this point. As far as I am concerned, I would like to sit from 2 to 7, because it is convenient not only to me but also to the Treasury Benches, as in that case we can attend to our work from 10-30 A.M. to 2 P.M. Of course, the whole House has to decide. The members can take their own time and tell me what they desire.

Total Outlay of the Second Five-Year Plan for the new Mysore State

Q.—64. Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY (Malur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the outlay of the Second Five-Year Plan as envisaged for the old Mysore State;

(b) whether the same has been revised in view of the addition of the merged areas to the State;

(c) the total outlay now for the enlarged State?

A.—Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(a) The total plan outlay was Rs. 80.61 crores.

(b) Yes. With the reorganisation of States and integration of areas, the same has been revised.

(c) The outlay for the new Mysore State is about Rs. 151 crores.

The Outlay of the Second Five-Year Plan.

Q.—195. Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY (Malur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the total outlay of the Second Five-Year Plan for the New Mysore;

(SRI H. C. LINGA REDDY.)

(b) when was the implementation of the Second Five-Year Plan started in the New State of Mysore;

(c) the progress made so far after the commencement of the plan period?

A.—Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(a) Rs. 151.47 crores.

(b) From 1st November 1956.

(c) Progress Reports have been called for and have not been received yet.

Sri A. R. BADRI NARAYAN.—Is there any separate Department called the Malnad Development Department?

*Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Yes, it has been instituted now.

Sri A. R. BADRI NARAYAN.—Have any funds been allotted for development of Malnad under the Second Five-Year Plan?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Quite a large number of schemes are related to that area.

Sri A. R. BADRI NARAYAN.—May I know the amount if it can be disclosed?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I want notice.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Supplementary to (c). May I know the basis on which it is stated that the outlay for the new Mysore State is Rs. 151 crores?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—There is a fairly long list with me. I can furnish it if the Hon'ble Member wants.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It may be furnished to him or placed on the Assembly table.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I will place it on the table of the House.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—I wanted to know the basis on which this outlay of Rs. 151 crores had been arrived at.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—The plans for the various integrating parts of the new State have been consolidated and then this has been arrived at.

Sri Y. MANJAYYA SETTY.—Will the Government be pleased to publish a White Paper embodying the various schemes undertaken and proposed to be undertaken under the Second Five-Year Plan of the new Mysore State?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—The Second Five-Year Plan as it relates to the new Mysore State has already been published. If my friend wants a copy I will get it for him.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—What percentage of this sum of Rs. 151 crores was spent during the year 1956-57?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The reply is already there.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಎರಡನೆಯ ಪಂಚ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಯೋಜನೆಗೆ ತಗಲುವ 151.47 ಕೋಟಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಪೈಕಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಎಷ್ಟು ಮೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಬೇಕು ಮತ್ತು ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಎಷ್ಟು ಹಣವನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಬೇಕೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I want notice to that question.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಯ ಕೆಲಸಕಾರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಒಂದು ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರೋ ಅಥವಾ ಪುಸ್ತಕದ ಬದನೆಕಾಯಿಯಂತಿ ಏನಾದರೂ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರೋ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಒಂದು ಆಧಾರವಿದೆಯೇ ಎಂದು ಮಾತ್ರ ಕೇಳಿ. ಪುಸ್ತಕದ ಬದನೆಕಾಯಿ ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ? ಹಾಗೆಲ್ಲಾ ಕೇಳಬಾರದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಸಾಲದ ಹೊರೆ ಎಷ್ಟಿದೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ನನಗೆ ಜ್ಞಾಪಕವಿರುವ ಹಾಗೆ ನುಮಾರು 28 ಕೋಟಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—28 ಕೋಟಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ತೀರಿನಲು ಯಾವ ರಿಸೋರ್ಸಸ್ ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿವೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ತಾವು ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ದ್ವಿತೀಯ ಪಂಚವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಯೋಜನೆಯ ಪುಸ್ತಕವನ್ನು ಓದಿದರೆ, ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಎಲ್ಲವನ್ನೂ ವಿಸ್ತಾರವಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಒದಗಿಸುವ ಹಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಲದ ರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಮತ್ತು ಗ್ರಾಂಟು ರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನಾದರೂ ತಿಳಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ವಿಷಯವೂ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಓದಿ ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಳ್ಳಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ದ್ವಿತೀಯ ಪಂಚವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಯೋಜನೆಯು ಮುಗಿಯುವುದರೊಳಗೆ ನಮ್ಮ ಸಾಲದ ಹೊರೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಬಡ್ಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಇದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಂಡಸ್ಟ್ರಿಯಲೈಸೇಷನ್ ಮತ್ತು ಸೋಷಿಯಲಿಸ್ಟಿಕ್ ಪ್ಯಾಟರ್ನ್ ಆಫ್ ಸೊಸೈಟಿಯ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರಾಸರಿ ಪರ ಕ್ಯಾಪಿಟಾ ಇಷ್ಕಂ ಏನು ಬರುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಾವು ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ದ್ವಿತೀಯ ಪಂಚವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಯೋಜನೆಯ ಪುಸ್ತಕದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ಕೇಳಿದ ಅಂಶವೂ ಅಡಕವಾಗಿದೆ.

All these questions are not so relevant. Since the question is about the Second Five-Year Plan, I have allowed a little bit liberty to Hon'ble Members. But when the Government says that the Second Five-Year Plan has been published, Hon'ble Members would do well to read it and then put supplementaries.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ಲಿಂಗಾರೆಡಿ.—ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ಕಾರ್ಯಗತಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಮೊದಲನೆಯ ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಸರಕಾರದವರು ಈ ಯೋಜನೆ ಬರುವ ಮುಂಚೆ ಖರ್ಚುಮಾಡಿದ ದುಡ್ಡನ್ನು ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ತೋರಿಸಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯನ್ನು ಇಟ್ಟಿರಬೇಕೆಂಬುದು ನಿಜವೇ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is irrelevant. The question relates to the total outlay of the Second Five-year Plan and the Hon'ble Member is asking a supplementary on some other subject. That is disallowed.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—May I know the quantum of outlay provided by the Hyderabad State for the schemes in Hyderabad and whether it is a fact that the amount has been considerably reduced?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I cannot give the figures for Hyderabad but I may tell the Hon'ble Member that the amount has not been reduced.

The SECRETARY.—Question No 54.

Sri K. PUTTARAMIAH.—Sir, I want to put a supplementary to the previous question.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have called the next question.

Trained Teachers in High Schools in the State.

Q.—54. Sri S. KARIAPPA (Virupakshipura).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the number of trained teachers at present in High Schools in the State and the percentage to the total number;

(b) the percentage of the trained teachers proposed to be raised at the end of the Second Five-Year Plan period;

(c) the steps already taken and the steps they propose to take in this behalf?

A.—Sri V. VENKATAPPA (Minister for Education).—

Total number of teachers	Trained	Percentage
(a) (i) 7,012	4,061	58%
(ii) & (iii) 55,144	24,127	44%

(b) Total percentage at the end of Second Five-Year Plan:—

(i) High Schools	70%
(ii) Middle and Primary Schools	67%

(c) During the year 1956-57 the following steps were taken.—

1. A post-Graduate Training College at Doddaballapur was started.

2. Three Gazetted Officers were deputed for training in Basic Education to Wardha.

3. Training of 40 Craft Teachers in Middle Schools was undertaken.

4. 1,088 teachers in Primary and Middle Schools were trained in Ex-Mysore State.

5. 288 Teachers of Secondary Schools were trained.

6. About 1,900 teachers of Primary and Middle Schools of Bombay Karnatak area were trained.

7. 146 Teachers of Secondary Schools of Bombay Karnatak area were trained.

8. 150 Teachers of Primary and Middle Schools of Hyderabad Karnatak area were trained.

9. 50 Graduate Teachers of Hyderabad Karnatak were trained.

10. 184 Teachers were trained in the Training Schools of Madras Karnatak.

11. During the current year 2,210 teachers in Primary and Middle Schools and 300 teachers in High Schools are proposed to be trained. Also craft training will be imparted to 440 teachers in Middle Schools.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಕರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರೈಮರಿ ಟೀಚರ್ಸ್ ಎಷ್ಟು ಇದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂಕಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ. ಹಳೆಯ ಮೈಸೂರು ಮತ್ತು ಹೊಸ ಮೈಸೂರು ಎರಡೂ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿವೆಯೋ ಅಥವಾ ಹಳೆಯ ಮೈಸೂರು ರಾಜ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಪಟ್ಟದ್ದು ಆಗಿದೆಯೋ ತಿಳಿಸುವರಾ?

*Mrs. GRACE TUCKER (Deputy Minister for Education).—This is for the whole State.